

velocity change will take place underneath the protrusion. By modeling the polymer as an ideal fluid, we can derive equations for how the imprint depth will behave as a function of pressure and time. We find that the imprint depth will be proportional to the pressure to the $-k/(k+1)$ power. Imprint depth is proportional to time to the $-1/(k+1)$ power. Here k is a property of the material.

Procedure:

We used a mold with a grating pattern consisting of a large set of parallel straight-line protrusions. From a cross section they can be considered a square wave with an amplitude or height of $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ and a period of 700 nm . The width s of the protrusion and valley would then be 350 nm . The polymer HSQ was first spun on to a silicon substrate at 1500 rpm for 10 seconds after which the mold was immediately applied. Pressure was applied 105s after the spinning was completed. The sample was then imprinted under a variety of time and pressure combinations, and the imprint depth of the sample was measured using SEM.

Results:

We plotted the imprint depth vs. pressure for a time of 10 minutes and suggested a possible fit in the dotted line of Figure 2. The solid line represents what a Newtonian fluid would act like.

In Figure 3, imprint depth vs. time is plotted for a pressure of 850 PSI in the dotted line with the Newtonian as the solid line.

We also found that there was variation in the data depending on the day of the imprinting, so Figure 4 shows depth vs. time at 750 PSI .

Conclusion:

According to both Newtonian and non-Newtonian theory, the imprint depth vs. pressure graph (Figure 2) should resemble a Newtonian curve if k is small. However, we see a difference in the imprint depth vs. time graph (Figure 3), as our data is concave upward while the Newtonian fluid is concave downward.

Figure 4 shows that the imprint depth decreases with time, an impossibility according to both ideal Newtonian and non-Newtonian flow models. Such a deviation forces the conclusion that there were other unaccounted for variables. Several possibilities exist to account for this behavior. One such possibility is a change in the humidity which could easily affect how the HSQ deforms. Also our theory ignored elasticity. If we consider a visco-elastic model, the data would be a better fit. That would require plotting the speed at which the stress is applied.

We can conclude from Figure 3 that HSQ behaves similar to an ideal non-Newtonian fluid, however other factors are involved which will require additional research.

Acknowledgements:

Many thanks to L. Jay Guo, Larry Cheng, Philip Choi, and Song Ge.

References:

- [1] Ge, Song; Thin Film Rheology in Nanoimprinting.
- [2] H. Schift, L. Heyderman, Nanorheology: Squeeze Flow in Hot Embossing of Thin Films, Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen PSI, Switzerland.
- [3] L. Jay Guo, Recent Progress in Nanoimprint Technology and its Applications, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, (2004).

